

Errors and power of a test.

- Probability of *Type I error*: $\Pr(\text{reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is true})$
 - i.e. we reject H_0 when it is in fact true
 - we denote the probability of this by α
- Probability of *Type II error*: $\Pr(\text{do not reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is false})$
 - i.e. we do not reject H_0 when it is in fact false
 - we denote the probability of this by β
- *Power of a test*: $\pi = \Pr(\text{reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is false}) = 1 - \beta$
 - i.e. we reject H_0 when it is false

Tradeoff between α and β .

- There is a tradeoff between α and β .
 - If we reduce α , we have to allow more β .
 - A proper solution would be to choose α and β based on the relative costs of the two types of error. However, this is not the route followed in classical statistics.
- Philosophy in classical statistics: we only reject H_0 if there is “overwhelming” evidence against it (i.e. we fix and keep α low).
 - (We try to find those tests, which have the smallest β for a given α .)
 - Typical values for α : 0.1, 0.05, 0.01.
 - If we do not reject H_0 , we should omit the phrase “we accept H_0 ”.
- The fixed α is called the *significance level* or *size* of the test.

Example.

- H_0 : A particular drug does not change the blood pressure ($\mu_0 = 0$)
- H_1 : It reduces the blood pressure ($\mu_0 < 0$)
- We have a random sample of $n = 25$ and obtained an average change of blood pressure $\bar{x} = -12$. (For simplicity assume that the sample is normally distributed and $\sigma = 20$ is known.)
- Is this enough evidence that the drug decreases blood pressure?

Solution.

- We know: if H_0 is true, then $\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu_0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right) \sim N\left(0, \frac{20^2}{25}\right)$.
- Set $\alpha = 0.05$. This is the probability of rejection of H_0 (in favor of H_1) if it is in fact true (i.e. if $\bar{X} \sim N\left(0, \frac{20^2}{25}\right)$).
- Draw a figure about $N\left(0, \frac{20^2}{25}\right)$, and mark the bottom 5%.
- If H_0 is true:
 - $\Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X}-0}{20/\sqrt{25}} < z_{0.05}\right) = 0.05$,
 - so $\Pr\left(\bar{X} < 0 - 1.645 \frac{20}{\sqrt{25}}\right) = \Pr(\bar{X} < -6.58) = 0.05$.
- Our *decision rule*: we reject H_0 if $\bar{x} < -6.58$.
 - -6.58 is the critical value.
 - Then the probability of type I error is exactly 5%.
- For us, $\bar{x} = -12$, so we reject H_0 .

Type II errors and the power of this test.

- Probability of type II error (not rejecting H_0 if it is false) depends on the true value of μ .
- If the true value is μ , then
 - $\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{20^2}{25}\right)$,
 - so $\beta(\mu) = \Pr(\bar{X} > -6.58) = \Pr\left(\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{20/\sqrt{25}} > \frac{-6.58-\mu}{20/\sqrt{25}}\right) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{-6.58-\mu}{4}\right)$.
- Values of β for different true values of μ :
 - If $\mu = -10$, then $\beta = 1 - \Phi(0.855) = 0.196$.
 - If $\mu = -5$, then $\beta = 1 - \Phi(-0.395) = 0.654$.
 - If $\mu = -2$, then $\beta = 1 - \Phi(-1.145) = 0.874$.
 - If $\mu = -1$, then $\beta = 1 - \Phi(-1.395) = 0.918$.
 - Hence $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \beta(\mu) = 1 - \alpha = 0.95$,
 - and $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow -\infty} \beta(\mu) = 0$.
- $\pi(\mu) = 1 - \beta(\mu)$ is called the *power function* of the test.

One-tailed and two-tailed tests.

- Types of alternative hypotheses:
 - Two-sided: $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$
 - One-sided: $H_1 : \mu < \mu_0$
 - One-sided: $H_1 : \mu > \mu_0$
- Typical rejection regions of the tests:
 - Two-tailed test: $|T| > c$ for $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$
 - One-tailed test: $T < c$ for $H_1 : \mu < \mu_0$
 - One-tailed test: $T > c$ for $H_1 : \mu > \mu_0$
- Unless you have a good reason to do otherwise, use a two-sided alternative and hence a two-tailed test.

Example (cont.).

- In the blood pressure example, let $H_1 : \mu \neq 0$. Construct a test with $\alpha = 0.05$.
- Solution:
 - Under H_0 we still have $\bar{X} \sim N\left(0, \frac{20^2}{25}\right)$,
 - but now we need upper and lower thresholds: $\Pr\left(z_{0.025} < \frac{\bar{X}-0}{20/\sqrt{50}} < z_{0.975}\right) = 0.95$
 - or $\Pr\left(-z_{0.975} \frac{20}{\sqrt{50}} < \bar{X} < z_{0.975} \frac{20}{\sqrt{50}}\right) = 0.95$
 - and hence $\Pr(-7.84 < \bar{X} < 7.84) = 0.95$.
 - So our decision rule: we reject H_0 if $|\bar{x} - 0| > 7.84$.
 - The probability of type I error will be $\alpha = 0.05$.

p-values.

- *p-value*: the largest significance level at which we could carry out the test and still fail to reject H_0
- Hence, we reject H_0 at all significance levels larger than the p-value, and do not reject H_0 for levels smaller than the p-value.
- Back to the example:
 - In the one-sided case: If H_0 is true, we would have $z = \frac{\bar{x}-0}{20/\sqrt{25}} = -3 = z_{0.0013}$. Hence $p = 0.0013$ is the p-value of the test.
 - In the two-sided case the p-value is $2 * 0.0013 = 0.0026$.
 - Hence our results are significant even at the 1% level.